

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA
 WESTERN DIVISION

FILED
 MAR 29 2010
[Signature]
 CLERK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CR 08-50079-02

Plaintiff,

vs.

ORDER

VINE RICHARD MARSHALL,
 a/k/a Richard Vine Marshall,
 a/k/a Dick Marshall,

Defendant.

Pending before the Court is Defendant Marshall's Motion for Subpoena Duces Tecum, Doc. 688. The Government has not objected to the Motion. The Court is satisfied that it conforms to the provisions of Rule 17(c) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and finds that the Motion shall be granted. Accordingly.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That the Defendant Vine Richard Marshall's Motion for Subpoena Duces Tecum, Doc. 688, is granted.
2. That the Clerk shall prepare a Subpoena to include name and address and other necessary information for each of the following persons:

<u>Name and Address</u>	<u>Date and Time of Appearance</u>
Jim Kroll, Manager Western History/Genealogy Department Denver Public Library 10 West 14 th Avenue Parkway Denver, CO 80204	Tuesday, April 13, 2010, 9:00 A.M. Rapid City, SD


You are commanded to produce copies of all documents and writings of any kind in the Denver Police Department Intelligence Bureau file on "Fritz Lookingcloud," a/k/a Fritz Arlo Looking Cloud, a/k/a Arlo Looking Cloud, including those documents in the lawsuit filed in the

United States District Court, District of Colorado, entitled *American Friends Service Committee, et al. v. City and County of Denver*, Civil action #02-N-0740. Said information shall be produced to defense counsel in Court on April 13, 2010, or shall be produced to defense counsel before that date, in which case you will not have to personally appear in Court on April 13, 2010.

3. That witness fees shall be paid in the same manner in which similar fees are paid to a witness subpoenaed on behalf of the Government.
4. That the United States Marshals Service shall cause the Subpoena to be served. Costs incurred by process shall be paid in the same manner in which similar costs are paid on behalf of the Government.
5. That the Subpoena issued by the Court for a date certain remain in full force and effect for any subsequent dates to which the appearance or trial date is continued. It is the obligation of the attorney issuing the Subpoena to convey this information to the person receiving the Subpoena.


Dated this 29th day of March, 2010.

BY THE COURT:



Lawrence L. Piersol
United States District Judge

ATTEST:
JOSEPH HAAS, CLERK

BY: 

DEPUTY